

Lecture Nanophotonics

Coupled light-matter systems Assignment

Class dates: Tuesday 15 May 2018
 Due date: Thursday 24 May 2018
 Teaching assistants: Zhou Geng (z.geng@amolf.nl)
 Radoslaw Kolkowski (R.Kolkowski@amolf.nl)

1 Coupled harmonic oscillators

Consider the equations of motion of two coupled damped oscillators 1 and 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{dx_1}{dt} + \omega_1^2 x_1 - \Omega^2 x_2 &= 0 \\ \frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2} + \gamma_2 \frac{dx_2}{dt} + \omega_2^2 x_2 - \Omega^2 x_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Under certain approximations and assuming solutions of the form: $x_{1,2} = C_{1,2} e^{-i\omega t}$, the above system can be mapped into an eigenvalue problem $|H - \omega I| = 0$ with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 - i\frac{\gamma_1}{2} & -\frac{\kappa}{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa}{2} & \omega_2 - i\frac{\gamma_2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

- Show that the eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian, which correspond to the eigenfrequencies of the coupled oscillators, can be expressed as $\omega_{\pm} = \bar{\omega} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \kappa^2}$, where $\bar{\omega} = \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} - i\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{4}$, $\Delta = \omega_1 - \omega_2 - i\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{2}$, $\kappa = \frac{\Omega^2}{\bar{\omega}}$ (assume $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2 \approx \omega$).
- Assuming $\omega_1 = 1$, $\gamma_1 = 0.01$, $\gamma_2 = 0.02$, plot $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ and $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ as a function of ω_2 in the range $\omega_2 \in [0.995, 1.005]$ at three κ : $\kappa = 0.004, 0.005, 0.006$ (plot $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ in one figure, $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ in another figure).
- Assuming $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1$, $\gamma_1 = 0.01$, $\gamma_2 = 0.02$, plot $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ and $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ as a function of κ in the range $\kappa \in [0, 0.01]$ (plot $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ in one figure, $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$ in another figure). Find the κ_c at which $\text{Re}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Re}\{\omega_-\}$ and $\text{Im}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Im}\{\omega_-\}$.

In non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, the condition where $\text{Re}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Re}\{\omega_-\}$ and $\text{Im}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Im}\{\omega_-\}$ is known as the **exceptional point**.

Now consider a driving force $F e^{-i\omega t}$ acting on oscillator 1. The equations of motion become:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{dx_1}{dt} + \omega_1^2 x_1 - \Omega^2 x_2 &= F e^{-i\omega t} \\ \frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2} + \gamma_2 \frac{dx_2}{dt} + \omega_2^2 x_2 - \Omega^2 x_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

- Assuming $x_{1,2} = C_{1,2} e^{-i\omega t}$, prove the expressions for the complex amplitudes $C_{1,2}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)F}{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_1) - \Omega^4} \\ C_2 &= \frac{\Omega^2 F}{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_1) - \Omega^4} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

- The complex amplitude $C_{1,2}$ can be expressed in terms of its modulus and phase as $C_{1,2} = |C_{1,2}| e^{i\phi_{1,2}}$. Assuming $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = 1.05$, $\gamma_1 = 0.01$, $\gamma_2 = 0.001$ and $\Omega = 0.2$, make the following plots in the range $\omega \in [0.9, 1.1]$:

- $|C_1|$ and $|C_2|$;

(ii) ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and $\phi_1 - \phi_2$;

Explain what happens to $|C_1|$ at ω_2 , based on the behavior of the phases of the coupled oscillators.
(Hint: think of what interferes with what.)

2 Single non-linear oscillator

The dynamics of the intra-cavity field of a Kerr non-linear microcavity under continuous-wave driving can be described by the following equation:

$$i\dot{\alpha} = (\omega_0 - i\frac{\gamma}{2})\alpha + U|\alpha|^2\alpha + Fe^{-i\omega_L t} \quad (5)$$

Here, ω_0 is the cavity resonance frequency; γ is loss rate; U is the interaction energy; F and ω_L are the driving amplitude and frequency. To get rid of the time harmonics of the driving force, we move to a frame rotating at the driving frequency ω_L , such that Eq.(5) becomes:

$$i\dot{\alpha} = (-\Delta - i\frac{\gamma}{2})\alpha + U|\alpha|^2\alpha + F \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta = \omega_L - \omega_0$ is the laser-cavity detuning.

Now let's focus on the steady state solution(s), given by setting $\dot{\alpha} = 0$.

(a) Show that the steady-state solution satisfies the following equation:

$$|F|^2 = U^2 n^3 - 2\Delta U n^2 + (\Delta^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4})n \quad (7)$$

where $n = |\alpha|^2$ is the steady-state density.

(b) Assuming $\gamma = 0.01$, $U = 0.0075\gamma$, $\omega_0 = 1$, use Eq.(7) to make the plot of $n-|F|^2$ in the range of $|F|^2 \in [23\gamma, 30\gamma]$ at two different Δ : (i) $\Delta = 0.85\gamma$, (ii) $\Delta = 0.9\gamma$ (plot two curves in the same figure).

(c) As shown in the plots of (b), there exist three steady states at certain Δ and F (only two steady states are stable, the so called **bistability**). Also, there exist a critical detuning Δ_c for the onset of the bistability. Derive the analytical formula for Δ_c .

(Hint: think about $\partial|F|^2/\partial n$)

(d) Evaluate the stability of the steady state solutions in the subquestion (b)(ii). The procedure is as follows:

(i) Introduce a linear fluctuation around the steady state, i.e. $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \delta\alpha$, and plug it in Eq.(6). Then, expand the equation and only retain terms which are linear in the fluctuation $\delta\alpha$. Show that the differential equation for $\delta\alpha$ can be written into matrix form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \delta\alpha \\ \delta\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \delta\alpha \\ \delta\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Delta - 2U|\alpha|^2)i - \frac{\gamma}{2} & -iU(\alpha)^2 \\ iU(\alpha^*)^2 & (2U|\alpha|^2 - \Delta)i - \frac{\gamma}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

(ii) The Hurwitz criterion states that if $\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{A}\} < 0$ and $\det\{\mathbf{A}\} > 0$ (where $\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{A}\}$ and $\det\{\mathbf{A}\}$ are the trace and the determinant of the matrix \mathbf{A}) the fluctuation approaches zero at $t \rightarrow \infty$, meaning that the steady state is stable. Based on this, numerically evaluate all the steady state solutions in subquestion (b)(ii) and remake the plot, in which the un-stable solutions should be plotted differently (e.g. a different color or line style).